ECEIPT 105 250 1:05-cv-11584-DPW Docu	ment 1 Filed 07/28/2005 Page 1 of 4
WAIVER FORM UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT TOF MASSACHUSETTS
DATE	CIVIL ACTION NO.:
JANICE STEVENSON, Plaintiff,	
v.	)
NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSE CHARTER, SCHOOL, Defendant,	05-11584 DPW
COM	IPLAINT MOSTRATE ADGE OLYANDE

#### Parties

- 1. The Plaintiff, Janice Stevenson ("Stevenson"), is an individual residing at 55 Hancock Street, Apartment #2, Cambridge, Middlesex County, Massachusetts.
- 2. The Defendant, Neighborhood House Charter School ("Employer"), is a Massachusetts non-profit charter school with a principal place of business at 55 Hancock Street, Dorchester, Suffolk County, Massachusetts.

#### Facts

- 3. Between May 2004 and August 2004, Stevenson worked for Employer as a "temp" employee through a temporary placement agency. Stevenson performed administrative and clerical duties in the Development Office.
- 4. In or about August 2004, the Finance Department began looking to fill a full time position assisting the Dean of Administration, Jagdish Chokshi ("Chokshi").
- 5. Employer told Stevenson that it preferred to hire independent contractors. Accordingly, Stevenson started "TuckNT" so that Employer would consider her a more attractive job candidate.
- 6. Employer hired Stevenson doing business as TuckNT for the full-time position in the Finance Department in August 2004. Stevenson earned \$25.00 per hour in her new position.

- 7. Employer told Stevenson that she was an independent contractor.
- 8. Stevenson performed administrative and clerical duties, including but not limited to drafting correspondence, filing documents and answering the telephone.
- 9. Stevenson did not have the right to control the way or manner in which she performed her job responsibilities.
- 10. Chokshi delegated assignments to Stevenson and reviewed her work product.
- 11. Stevenson's job responsibilities in assisting the Dean of Administration were within the usual course of business for the Employer.
- 12. In May 2005, Stevenson questioned Chokshi regarding the Employer's decision relative to method of payment of another worker, Lynn Clark. Stevenson feared that Employer's decision could subject Employer to liability.
- 13. On June 3, 2005, Chokshi terminated Stevenson.
- 14. Between August 2004 and her termination date, Stevenson worked approximately 676 hours of overtime.
- 15. Employer was obligated to pay Stevenson at the rate of 1.5 times her base rate for overtime hours. Employer failed to pay Stevenson for any hours she worked in excess of forty hours per week.
- 16. Employer withheld taxes on Stevenson's paycheck and did not pay her any overtime monies for hours worked in excess of forty hours per week.

### CAUSES OF ACTION

## FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION - VIOLATION OF 29 U.S.C. §§ 210

- 17. This is a cause of action by the Plaintiff against the Employer for non-payment of overtime wages in violation of 29 U.S.C. §§ 210.
- 18. Employer misclassified Plaintiff as an independent contractor.
- 19. The Plaintiff was an employee of Employer.
- 20. The Plaintiff worked approximately 676 hours of overtime.
- 21. The Plaintiff is not exempt from the overtime provisions of the Fair Labor Standards Act.

- 22. Employer has willfully failed to pay Stevenson's overtime wages in the approximate amount of \$25,350.00.
- 23. As a direct result, Stevenson has suffered monetary damages.

# SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION - VIOLATION OF MASS. GEN. LAWS, CHAPTER 149 § 148B

- 24. This is a cause of action by the Plaintiff against the Employer for violation of Mass. Gen. Laws, chapter 149, § 148B.
- 25. Employer misclassified Plaintiff as an independent contractor.
- 26. The Plaintiff was required, based upon the misclassification of her employment status, to pay taxes to state and/or federal government that Employer should have paid.
- 27. The Employer did not satisfy its obligations to make contributions to employee unemployment insurance or worker's compensation insurance.
- 28. The Employer deprived the Plaintiff of a proper Social Security contributions based upon the misclassification of Plaintiff's employment status.
- 29. Stevenson has exhausted her administrative remedies pursuant to the statute by obtaining permission from the Attorney General's office to pursue her statutory claim in court.
- 30. As a direct result, Stevenson has suffered monetary damages.

# THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION - VIOLATION OF MASS. GEN. LAWS. CHAPTER 149 § 52(C)

- 31. This is a cause of action by the Plaintiff against the Employer for violation of Mass. Gen. Laws, chapter 149, § 52C.
- 32. The Defendant has more than 20 employees.
- 33. The Plaintiff's attorney sent the Employer a properly executed Release for her personnel records.
- 34. The Employer has refused to comply with the statute by providing a copy of Plaintiff's personnel records.

# The Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all triable issues.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Stevenson, prays that this Honorable Court:

- I. Enter an award of double damages, reasonable attorney's fees, costs and interest against the Defendant, for their willful violation of 29 U.S.C. §§ 210.
- II. Enter an award multiple damages, reasonable attorney's fees, costs and interest against the Defendant, for their willful violation of Mass. Gen. Laws, chapter 149, § 148B.
- III. Grant such other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted, Janice Stevenson, By her attorney,

Min W. Davis (BBO #648399)

Davis & Davis, P.C.

77 Franklin Street, 3<sup>rd</sup> floor Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(617) 933-3838

SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

# CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided

the civil docket sheet. (SEE INS	n, approved by the Judicial Corner STRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF	F THE FORM.)					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	TANICE STEVENSON			NC16HBORHGO			
JANIC				CHARTER &			
	of First Listed Plaintiff (CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	DUESEX	County of Residence of	First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O	3LFFQK		
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.				
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Number)  AUIS, P.C. 6	17.983.383	Attorneys (If Known)	1158	4 DDW		
77 FRANKLIN		STON MA UL		<u> </u>			
II. BASIS OF JURISD	(Place an "X" in One E  Grade an "X" in One E  Grade A Grade A Grade A Grade  (U.S. Government Not a)	**	(For Diversity Cases Only) Citizen of This State	F DEF			
2 U.S. Government Defendant	1 4 Diversity	and a factor of the	Citizen of Another State	_	rincipal Place		
	(Indicate Citizenship of P	arties in item iii)	Citizen or Subject of a  Foreign Country	3 🗍 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS		PORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES		
☐ 110 Insurance		PERSONAL INJURY	610 Agriculture	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State Reapportionment		
□ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment Æ Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise  REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Forcelosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	310 Airplane	362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability RSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability RISONER PETITIONS 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	☐ 620 Other Food & Drug ☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 630 Liquor Laws ☐ 640 R.R. & Truck ☐ 650 Airline Regs. ☐ 660 Occupational Safety/Health ☐ 690 Other ☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act ☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations ☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act ☐ 740 Raitway Labor Act ☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation ☐ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	□ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157  PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 840 Trademark  SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	410 Antitrust   430 Banks and Banking   450 Commerce   460 Deportation   470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations   480 Consumer Credit   490 Cable/Sat TV   810 Selective Service   850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange   875 Customer Challenge   12 USC 3410   890 Other Statutory Actions   891 Agricultural Acts   892 Economic Stabilization Act   893 Environmental Matters   894 Energy Allocation Act   895 Freedom of Information Act   900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice   950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
1 Original D 2		nanded from	Reinstated or 🗀 🤌 anoth	ferred from			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON Cite the U.S. Civil Statute  U.S. Civil Statute  Brief description of cause:	120	Reopened (specificing (Do not cite jurisdiction	al statutes unless diversity):	A 6 E 5		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND S	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	y if demanded in complaint: :: XYes □ No		
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	(See instructions):	DGE		DOCKET NUMBER	,		
7/28/05 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF ATTO	RNEY OF RECORD				
DECOMPRE 4	. MOINT						

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

_	Title of case (na	ame of first party or	each side only	STEVE	NSON	L.	NEIG	HBOK/tw)
•	<del>CHA</del>	HOUSE	CHAK	TER	SCHO	oc_		
	Category in whi	ich the case belong	s based upon t	he numbered na	ture of suit cod	le listed on (	the civil cover she	et. (See local
	l.	160, 410, 470, 5	35. R.23. REGAI	RDLESS OF NAT	URE OF SUIT.			
	<u>X</u> 11.	195, 196, 368, 4	00, 440, 441-446		325, 710, 7 <b>2</b> 0, 7		omplete AO 120 or ent, trademark or o	
	.		40, 345, 350, 35	0, 230, 240, 245, 5, 360, 362, 365,				
	IV.		30, 460, 480, 49 55, 870, 871, 875	0, 510, 530, 610, 5, 900.				A
	v.	150, 152, 153.			05	<b>a</b>	158	4 DPI
<b>3</b> .		er, if any, of related indicate the title an				one prior rela	ated case has beer	a filed in this
<b>l</b> .	Has a prior act	ion between the sa	me parties and I	based on the sa	ne claim ever b YES	peen filed in	this court?	
i.		olaint in this case q	uestion the con	stitutionality of a	ın act of congr	ess affecting	the public interes	t? (See 28
	USC §2403)				YES		NO X	
	If so, is the U.S	S.A. or an officer, ag	jent or employe	e of the U.S. a p	arty? YES		NO 💆	
<b>3</b> .	Is this case rec	quired to be heard a	and determined	by a district cou		_	t to title 28 USC §2	284?
					YES		ио 🎘	
7.	Do <u>all</u> of the pa Massachusetts	arties in this action s ("governmental a	ı, excluding gov gencies"), resid	ernmental agen ding in Massach	cies of the unit usetts reside in YES	the same d	d the Commonwer ivision? - (See Lo	ith of cal Rule 40.1(d)).
	A.	If yes, in which	n division do <u>ali</u>	of the non-gove	rnmental partie	es reside?		
		Eastern Division	on 🗆	Central 1	Division 🗆		Western Divisio	<sub>n</sub> 🗆
	В.	•	division do the ding in Massac		plaintiffs or the	only parties	s, excluding govern	mental
		Eastern Division	on 🗆	Central	Division		Western Division	on 🗆
8.		e of Removal - are			ne state court re	equiring the	attention of this C	ourt? (If yes,
<b>,</b> _	EASE TURE OF	D DRIMT'			YES		NO X	
•	LEASE TYPE OF	· <del></del>		4	DAVI	, _	f	
	TORNEY'S NAM	1E 117						
	TORNEY'S NAM DRESS 7		KLIN	57.			05762	-02110